

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

INTEGRA LIFESCIENCES CORP.,)
INTEGRA LIFESCIENCES SALES LLC,)
CONFLUENT SURGICAL, INC., and)
INCEPT LLC,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

Civil Action No. 15-819-LPS-CJB

HYPERBRANCH MEDICAL)
TECHNOLOGY, INC.,)

Defendant.)

ORDER

At Wilmington this **3rd day of May, 2016.**

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2016, the Court issued a Memorandum Order regarding Defendant HyperBranch Medical Technology, Inc.’s (“HyperBranch”) motion to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina (the “Memorandum Order”), (D.I. 147);

WHEREAS, the Court released the Memorandum Order under seal in recognition that it may contain confidential information, pending review by the parties to allow them to submit a single, jointly proposed, redacted version (if necessary) of the Memorandum Order, (*id.* at 23);

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2016, HyperBranch submitted a letter requesting the redaction of certain information regarding HyperBranch’s revenues and profits, and asserted that the redactions are necessary because the information reflected in the proposed redacted text is “sensitive financial information[.]” (D.I. 155);

WHEREAS, HyperBranch further asserted that disclosure of the proposed redacted text

would cause it harm, in that it would divulge the particulars of HyperBranch's financial state to customers, possible competitors and the public, (*id.*);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Integra LifeSciences Corp., Integra LifeSciences Sales LLC, Confluent Surgical, Inc. and Incept LLC do not oppose HyperBranch's request to redact the Memorandum Order, (*id.*);

WHEREAS, the proposed redactions are limited in scope, amounting to approximately four lines of text, (*id.*, ex. A at 3, 11), and HyperBranch has provided a clear basis to justify such redactions;

WHEREAS, based on the record before it, and considering the question as to this request only, the Court finds that good cause exists to believe that disclosure of these portions of the Memorandum Order would work a "clearly defined and serious injury" to HyperBranch. *Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg*, 23 F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); *see also Mylan Inc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.*, 723 F.3d 413, 415 n.3 (3d Cir. 2013) (finding good cause to seal documents "to protect the parties' confidential proprietary business and competitive interests").

THEREFORE, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. HyperBranch's unopposed request for redaction of the Memorandum Order is GRANTED.
2. Any and all publicly-available copies of the Memorandum Order, including but not limited to copies that are or will be available by remote electronic access, shall be redacted as delineated in Exhibit A of HyperBranch's letter. (D.I. 155, ex. A)

Christopher J. Burke

Christopher J. Burke
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE